MEMORANDUM
Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District

To: Board of Managers          Date: March 15, 2018
From: Mike Kinney
Subject: Clean Water Partnership Loan Program Update

Loan Application
Over the past few months District staff has had frequent correspondence with the PCA in order to narrow down an application to the Clean Water Partnership (CWP) loan program. PCA staff has been very generous with their time, giving thoughtful responses to staff’s multiple questions. As it pertains to the loan application, the MPCA staff has advised that the District may remain somewhat broad in its project descriptions. We will want to give enough detail to demonstrate how thorough the District’s project targeting, implementation, and effectiveness monitoring procedure will be, while still leaving enough flexibility to make changes per the adaptive management philosophy. The draft application is written so that it may be able to accommodate several capital projects in each subwatershed as they are identified more specifically down the road.

At the February 22nd board meeting, the Board expressed an interest in providing specific input on the loan application. While it is not the District’s usual practice to have the Board review specific language for grant applications (e.g. yearly Clean Water Fund applications), this loan program is entirely new to the District. With this consideration, and the Board’s previous request in mind, enclosed in this month’s board packet is a draft loan application for Board review. While PCA staff has not reviewed this application yet (submitting the actual application would begin the official review period; so far we have been in a more informal “pre-submittal” correspondence), PCA has reviewed and provided positive feedback to the general project descriptions and proposed level of detail that staff has submitted to them.

If managers wish to provide input on the loan application, they are encouraged to reach out to staff prior to the March 22nd meeting so that any comments may be compiled and addressed prior to the meeting.

Bond Counsel
Staff has made initial contact with an attorney at Kutak Rock LLP in order to meet the requirement for the District to retain bond counsel. Staff will be in further contact with Kutak Rock moving forward in order to ensure everything is in order for the loan application to be submitted.

Loan Resolution
The District is required to adopt a resolution to accompany the application submittal. Staff has drafted the attached resolution based on the available template from the PCA. Legal counsel has reviewed the resolution and provided input accordingly. If the Board is sufficiently satisfied with the state of the loan application, it may choose to adopt the resolution at the March 22nd meeting, thus authorizing submittal of the application thereafter.

Optional Action
Manager _____________ moves to adopt resolution 18-03-03 authorizing submittal of the Clean Water Partnership loan application as presented. Seconded by Manager _____________. [Roll call vote]

Attached: Draft CWP Loan Application, CWP Loan Resolution
CWP Loan Application
Minnesota Clean Water Partnership (CWP)
Nonpoint Source Pollution Project

Doc Type: Application

- Before submitting the application form, review the Clean Water Partnership (CWP) Loan Program Request for Proposals (RFP).
- This form must be submitted electronically as per instructions listed in Section IX of the RFP.

Project title
Keep the title descriptive and short. You will be using it many times. It should include the water body name (if applicable) and the type of activity. There is a maximum of 50 characters, including spaces. (Examples: Lake Smith Diagnostic Study; Brown Creek Implementation Project)

Project title: CLFLWD Adaptive Management Project Implementation

Sponsoring organization
Sponsoring organization (See Section III of RFP for applicant eligibility):
Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District

Primary contact person (The primary contact person is the person who can be contacted for additional information):
Mike Kinney
Street address: 44 Lake Street South, Suite A
City: Forest Lake State: MN Zip: 55025
Phone: (651) 395-5855 Fax: Email: Michael.kinney@clflwd.org

Project budget projection
State the amount of the loan funds requested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loan funds requested:</th>
<th>$1,500,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Match funds, including cash and in-kind services (if applicable):</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total project cost</strong> (sum of other 2 lines):</td>
<td><strong>$2,500,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project location
You must include all project location information that is applicable. Be sure to select a basin. If applicable, attach a map of the application area.

Major watershed: Lower St. Croix River
12-digit Hydrologic unit code: 07030005
8-digit Hydrologic unit code: various
GPS location: various

Sub-watershed: CLFLWD
12-digit Hydrologic unit code: various
8-digit Hydrologic unit code: various
GPS location: various

What type of water body does it affect? (check all that apply)

- Stream
- Lake
- River
- Groundwater
- Other

Water body name(s): Moody Lake, Bone Lake, Little Comfort Lake, Shields Lake, Forest Lake, Comfort Lake, Sunrise River

Basin (check all that apply):

- Lake Superior
- Red River
- Rainy
- Lower Mississippi/Cedar
- Des Moines
- Missouri
- St. Croix
- Upper Mississippi
- Minnesota

Is the water of concern a drinking water source? Yes No

www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 Use your preferred relay service Available in alternative formats
Best management practice(s) Implemented with Loan Funds: (check all that apply):

- ☑ SSTS replacement
- ☑ Feedlot upgrade
- ☑ In Lake/Stream manipulation
- ☑ Land use practices
- ☑ Permanent structure(s): Sedimentation basin, etc.
- ☑ Well sealing
- ☑ Green infrastructure
- ☑ Other explain:
  - In-lake alum treatment, wetland restorations, targeted municipal street sweeping, streambank restoration

Project plan information

If applicable, include Web address, page numbers and effective dates from any local or regional water plans relating to this project. If a Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation Plan or Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategies (WRAPS) is applicable, please include the appropriate information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Moody Lake Internal Load Improvement Options: <a href="http://www.clflwd.org/documents/MoodyLakeInternalLoadTreatmentOptions_Final_00.pdf">http://www.clflwd.org/documents/MoodyLakeInternalLoadTreatmentOptions_Final_00.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other plans that refer to this project work:</td>
<td><a href="http://www.clflwd.org/documents/SunriseWQandFlowageEngineersReport.pdf">http://www.clflwd.org/documents/SunriseWQandFlowageEngineersReport.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Start of project summary (four pages maximum)

Project summary

Your responses will be used by the MPCA for scoring. The description should require no more than four pages including the explanation statements. This is your opportunity to clearly explain and justify your proposed project. Make sure your responses address scoring criteria outlined in RFP Attachment A.

Background

Clearly identify water quality concerns and specifically define the type, location, and problem. Identify groundwater or water body use and explain how the groundwater or water body concerns are addressed in local and/or regional water plans.

Moody Lake and its tributary watershed comprises the headwaters of the CLFLWD’s northern network of connected lakes and streams. The waters of Moody Lake affect multiple downstream receiving waters including Bone Lake, Comfort Lake, the Sunrise River, and ultimately the St. Croix River. Moody Lake has very poor water quality and is on the Impaired Waters list for nutrients. As of 2017 the lake’s latest 5-year average summer total phosphorus concentration was 102 micrograms/L. While Moody Lake is used primarily for fishing, the recreational Bone Lake is immediately downstream. Projects for Moody Lake are identified on pages 44 and 60 of the CLFLWD WMP.

Bone Lake has a public boat landing and is heavily used for boating, swimming, and fishing. Bone Lake is also on the Impaired Waters list for nutrients. Projects for Bone Lake are identified on pages 45 and 60a of the CLFLWD WMP.

Little Comfort Lake is the next major lake downstream of Bone Lake in the CLFLWD’s northern flow network. Like Bone and Moody,
Little Comfort is on the Impaired Waters list for nutrients and was included in the 2010 6-Lake TMDL. Projects for Little Comfort Lake are identified on pages 47, 56 and 57 of the CLFLWD WMP.

Shields Lake is located upstream of Forest Lake, connected by a tributary stream. Through the Forest Lake Clean Water Partnership Diagnostic Study, it was identified as the single largest contributor of flows and phosphorus loads to the central basin of Forest Lake. As of 2017 the lake’s latest 5-year average summer TP concentration was 239 micrograms/L. Shields Lake was included in the 2010 6-Lake TMDL. Projects for Shields Lake are identified on page 48 of the CLFLWD WMP.

Forest Lake is one of the top recreational lakes in the metro area with a diverse and healthy fishery along with three public accesses. The water quality of Forest Lake also impacts downstream waterers, particularly Comfort Lake, the Sunrise River, and ultimately the St. Croix River. While not currently on the impaired waters list, the water quality of Forest Lake is very near the water quality standard for North Central Hardwood Forest lakes. Protection of Forest Lake water quality is a high priority for the CLFLWD and the region. Projects for Forest Lake are identified on pages 50, 51 and 62 of the CLFLWD WMP.

Comfort Lake has a public boat access and is used recreationally for swimming, fishing, and motorized and non-motorized boating. It is the second largest lake in the CLFLWD and is highly visible from State Highway 8. Comfort Lake was included in the 2010 6-Lake TMDL. Projects for Comfort Lake are identified on page 52 of the CLFLWD WMP.

The Sunrise River is the outlet of the CLFLWD, thus transporting flows and pollutant loads from CLFLWD waterbodies downstream to the St. Croix River and beyond. A section of the river connects Forest Lake and Comfort Lake, before flowing out of the Comfort Lake outlet. The river is on the Impaired Waters list for multiple pollutants/stressors in various stretches. Projects for the Sunrise River are identified on pages 55 and 56 of the CLFLWD WMP.

Project impact

Explain how the proposed project activities will lead to protection, enhancement, or restoration of the water of concern. Identify specific environmental, administrative, and social behavior outcomes and explain how they are meaningful to water condition improvement. Cite water quality data and reference water quality standards.

The CLFLWD is committed to using sound scientific principles and a systematic adaptive management approach to protecting and improving its waterbodies. Six projects aimed at improving the waterbodies listed above are included in this proposal. Each is part of a comprehensive plan to bring target waterbodies back to pre-development conditions, which in some cases means exceeding state water quality standards. Projects may include implementation of multiple BMPs, as identified through additional monitoring and feasibility. Environmental outcomes are described below. As with all of the District’s activities, especially water quality projects, an outreach component will be included for each project as well. By engaging the public through these activities, the District aims to enable and encourage social behaviors such as environmental appreciation and stewardship. Additionally, the District aims to inspire changes within the greater MN LGU administration in order to use Prioritized, Targeted, and Measurable (PTM) projects to quantitatively meet water quality goals across the state. CLFLWD projects will have a pre- and post-construction monitoring element that will specifically address the “Measurable” component in PTM, an element that oftentimes goes overlooked when dealing with quantifying actual project effectiveness. Environmental outcomes of proposed projects are as follows:

1. Moody Lake Alum Treatment: This project will reduce internal P loading to Moody Lake by an estimated 324 lbs/yr, or approximately 1/3 of the total reduction needed to bring Moody Lake to the state P concentration standard of 40 micrograms/L. This will subsequently reduce phosphorus loading to Bone Lake which is located directly downstream of Moody Lake.

2. Bone Lake Nonpoint BMPs: This project will reduce watershed P and TSS loading to Bone Lake by addressing the largest and/or most concentrated nonpoint pollution sources. Outcomes will be measurable progress toward Bone Lake’s long-term water quality goals for phosphorus concentration (mean summer concentration of 30 micrograms/L), secchi disk depth (mean summer depth of 7 ft), and overall water quality rating (rating at or above B).

3. Little Comfort Lake Phosphorus Source Assessment Plan & Project Implementation: This project will reduce watershed P and TSS loading to Little Comfort Lake by addressing the largest and/or most concentrated nonpoint pollution sources. Outcomes will be measurable progress toward Little Comfort Lake’s long-term water quality goals for phosphorus concentration (mean summer concentration of 30 micrograms/L), secchi disk depth (mean summer depth of 7 ft), and overall water quality rating (rating at or above B).

4. Forest Lake Subwatershed Assessments and Stormwater BMP Implementation: This project will reduce watershed P and TSS loading to Forest Lake by addressing the largest and/or most concentrated nonpoint pollution sources. Outcomes will be measurable progress toward Forest Lake’s long-term water quality goals for phosphorus concentration (mean summer concentration of 30 micrograms/L), secchi disk depth (mean summer depth of 7 ft), and overall water quality rating (rating at or above B).

5. Sunrise River Restoration and Stormwater BMPs: This project will reduce watershed and internal P and TSS loading to the Sunrise River by addressing the largest and/or most concentrated nonpoint pollution sources. Improvements to the Sunrise River will directly affect Comfort Lake. Outcomes will be measurable progress toward Comfort Lake’s long-term water quality goals for phosphorus concentration (mean summer concentration of 30 micrograms/L), secchi disk depth (mean summer depth of 7 ft), and overall water quality rating (rating at or above B).

6. District-Wide Public Education Projects: This project will mainly be aimed at inspiring social behavior outcomes such as environmental appreciation and stewardship. One avenue for such behaviors will be increased participation in District volunteer programs and events. By engaging local stakeholders through a variety of strategies, the District will communicate progress that it is making and encourage the public to take action themselves.
Technical feasibility

Thoroughly explain the project activities, including the scope, schedule and budget of the project. Explain the activity of the project sponsors in water planning or regulatory activities for NPS. Explain how the water of concern and its watershed have physical, hydrological, or other characteristics that can be worked with or worked around to obtain water quality protection.

For each of the waterbodies identified above, the District has completed multiple targeted studies as well as regular ongoing monitoring in order to track water quality and identify specific areas of concern. At this point, several specific projects have been identified and are underway (visit www.clflwd.org/projects.php for more details on ongoing projects). However, these projects alone will not achieve the pollutant load reductions necessary to bring waterbodies to their water quality goals; additional project implementation is needed within each subwatershed. With information from several previously-completed studies, the District is well prepared to implement each of these projects within a 3-year timespan (2018-2021). The overall budget for implementing these projects is $2.5M with a loan request of $1.5M and the remainder to be supplied by grants. The scope for each project is described below:

1. Moody Lake Alum Treatment: This project will involve a whole-lake alum application to Moody Lake. The CLFLWD is currently working to address watershed loading by having completed a wetland restoration and livestock access management project in early 2017, and planning to complete the next phase of wetland restoration projects in 2018. Once these watershed projects are completed, the District will take aim at Moody Lake’s internal phosphorus load. The proposed timeline for the alum treatment is fall 2018.

2. Bone Lake Nonpoint BMPs: This project will include feasibility and implementation of projects to address nonpoint runoff in the Bone Lake subwatershed. This will include a suite of BMPs such as wetland restorations, grassed waterways, sediment basins, critical area seeding, nutrient management, and/or other agricultural BMPs. Land use in the Bone Lake subwatershed is largely rural/agricultural which includes many drained wetlands, therefore agricultural BMPs and wetland restorations will be the main focus of this project.

3. Little Comfort Lake Phosphorus Source Assessment Plan & Project Implementation: This project will include targeted subwatershed-scale monitoring to identify pollutant loading hotspots and prioritize BMPs, then completion of feasibility and implementation of top-ranked BMPs which may include: wetland treatment systems, agricultural BMPs, streambank stabilization, and/or other nonpoint BMPs. Land use in the Little Comfort Lake subwatershed is largely rural/agricultural which includes many drained wetlands. It’s landscape is also marked by a tributary stream connecting sequential lakes throughout the watershed. With this in mind, agricultural BMPs, wetland restorations, and stream restoration BMPs will be the main focus of this project.

4. Forest Lake Subwatershed Assessments and Stormwater BMP Implementation: This project will include targeted subwatershed-scale monitoring to identify pollutant loading hotspots and prioritize stormwater runoff BMPs, then completion of feasibility and implementation of top-ranked BMPs which may include: street sweeping, stormwater harvest & irrigation reuse systems, wetland treatment systems, agricultural BMPs, streambank stabilization, in-lake alum treatment, and/or other stormwater BMPs. Land use in the Forest Lake subwatershed varies from urban to suburban to rural/agricultural, therefore a variety of BMPs will be needed to address the different sources of loading.

5. Sunrise River Restoration and Stormwater BMPs: This project will include feasibility and implementation of projects to address nonpoint stormwater runoff in the Sunrise River floodplain within the Comfort Lake subwatershed. This will include a suite of BMPs such as wetland restorations, streambank stabilization, and/or other nonpoint BMPs. One of the main factors along this stretch of the Sunrise River is the impact from years of urban and agricultural development including extensive ditching; BMPs will be selected with this information in mind.

6. District-Wide Public Education Projects: This project will create and disseminate public education materials and activities which may include: standard District signage, engagement along the Hardwood Creek trail near District boundaries and the District office, coordination of educational events at the District office/local parks, and/or other outreach activities. This project is not specific to a single subwatershed, but will be aimed at audiences across the entire District. This variety in demographics will be taken into consideration throughout each step of the project.

Measurable outcomes and project deliverables

Clearly state the measurable outcomes this project would achieve and project deliverables. Deliverables are specific and tangible, and describe products such as data generated, reports, BMPs installed in target areas. Outcomes are less tangible, such as pollutant reductions that will directly improve the environment, or changed behaviors or practices, new understandings and new relationships that can inform and lead to eventual environmental improvements. Provide baseline data and estimate water quality improvements Provide interim management measures and the understanding of the project’s contribution to water quality in the watershed.

Measurable outcomes, including pollutants of concern, target water quality parameters, social behaviors and practices, and administrative/agency practices, were described in detail in the Project Impact section of this proposal. While specific pollutant reductions (i.e. pounds of P and TSS reduced per year) are not yet known for each proposed BMP, the District has enough information to make educated estimates regarding the overall reductions anticipated at the end of the 3-year project timeline. These estimates are provided below. Specific deliverables for each project will include feasibility reports, project effectiveness monitoring reports, fact sheets with details on installed practices, and data within the District’s Annual Progress Report which details how many projects have been implemented in each year and their quantified impact on existing loads.

If applicable, complete your best estimate as to how much your project will reduce listed pollutants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>1,500 lbs/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>_____ tons/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>_____ lbs/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other pollutants (list):

**Organization**

Clearly identify the project lead and describe the relevant qualifications of project staff that will ensure success of this project. Identify community and political support for the project. Define partners’ roles and responsibilities and clearly identify stakeholders. As needed, provide links to other priorities and resources. Explain how project results will be communicated.

Mike Kinney, District Administrator for the CLFLWD, has over 30 years of relevant experience in environmental science, natural resource management and conservation planning. He has a Master of Science Degree in Water Resources Management and a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Geology. Mike is the primary contact for the CLFLWD and will provide project oversight and administration. Mike will be supported by a team of four in-house full time staff members as well as the District’s consulting engineering firm, Emmons & Olivier Resources, and the District’s legal counsel, Smith Partners LLP.

Cecilio Olivier, PE with EOR, has 30 years of experience in engineering and will provide principal oversight of the design, contract documents, bidding and construction oversight. Greg Graske, PE with EOR, has 18 years of experience in engineering design and serves as the District Engineer client representative for the CLFLWD. Greg will provide project coordination between EOR and the CLFLWD. Additional EOR staff will be involved in each project as necessary.

Smith Partners, legal counsel for the CLFLWD, will oversee development of any contract or easement documents.

**Past history**

List any previous CWP or Section 319 grants or loans you have received in the past five years and describe your performance level on them. (Was reporting done on time, was eLINK and EQuIS data entered by the deadline, was the project completed as described in the project workplan and revisions, and were all of the grant or fund spents and if not, why.)

In 2016 the District received a Section 319 grant for the Moody Lake Wetland Rehabilitation Project (i.e. the BMPs aimed at addressing the watershed pollutant loads to Moody Lake prior to the in-lake alum treatment). Over the course of this currently active grant, the District has submitted semi-annual reporting on time for each reporting period. To date the project has been progressing as identified in the work plan with one exception – restoration of Wetland A/B (i.e. Phase 1 of the project) was not originally included in the Section 319 grant work plan; only restoration of Wetland C (i.e. Phase 2) was included. It was originally estimated that timing between Phase 1 implementation and 319 grant agreement execution would not allow costs associated with Wetland A/B to be applied to the 319 grant. However, much of the work associated with Wetland A/B was completed after that date on which the agreement was executed, therefore the District is working with PCA to complete a work plan change order.

**Tasks and timeline**

Provide a concise overview of the project and identify and describe:

- Major tasks
- Timeframe of major tasks to be completed
- Who will manage each major task
- Key milestones and when they will be reached

1. Moody Lake Alum Treatment: quote solicitation (spring/summer 2018), public outreach (summer/fall 2018), alum treatment (fall 2018). Tasks will be largely carried out by EOR with oversight from the District Administrator and assistance from other District staff and legal counsel.

2. Bone/Little Comfort/Forest Lake/Sunrise Projects: additional monitoring and project targeting (2018); project feasibility (2018/2019); preliminary design, environmental review, permitting, landowner outreach and easements (2019); project ordering, final design, bidding, construction, site restoration (2020/2021). Tasks will be largely carried out by EOR with oversight from the District Administrator and assistance from other District staff and legal counsel.

6. District-Wide Public Education Projects: work plan development, materials design (2018), signage installation/material dissemination (2019-2021). Tasks will largely be carried out by District staff with oversight from the District Administrator and technical input from EOR as needed.

**Civic engagement (if applicable)**

Indicate how this project will move beyond customary public participation, education, and outreach approaches, and think holistically and strategically about what it would take to empower individuals, businesses, and organizations to become more involved in civic life from the earliest states of watershed management processes. Please refer to the [MPCA Watershed Civic Engagement website](http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/water/water-types-and-programs/minnesotas-impaired-waters-and-tmds/project-resources/civic-engagement-in-watershed-projects.html)

While each capital improvement project will be associated with its own civic engagement elements such as neighborhood meetings, newspaper articles and public notices, and mailers/website postings, the District will go above and beyond these efforts with the District-Wide Education Projects initiative. The purpose of this project is to reach out to the public in new ways in order to engage new audiences and encourage additional public participation in District programs.

**End of project summary (four pages maximum)**
**Budget Information**

Remember to check your addition – both across and down. Dollar amounts for the loan, match and grand total must match the Project Budget Projection on page 1 of the application.

**Project expenditure budget**

Complete the following table by listing the objectives that will comprise your project and estimated realistic cost of each objective. For each objective, identify the task to be done, amount of loan funds to be used for the task, and amount of match (local cash and in-kind, if applicable) to be provided for the task. Add additional rows as necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Funding types</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash match contribution to project (if any)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-kind match contribution to project (if any)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dollar amount of Loan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Project Implementation</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total of program objectives:**

1,000,000

1,500,000

2,500,000
Loan sponsor summary:
*If more than one loan sponsor will be participating in the project list all of the loan sponsors and the amount of each loan requested:

Loan sponsor #1: ______________________________ Amount: __________________________
Loan sponsor #2: ______________________________ Amount: __________________________
Loan sponsor #3: ______________________________ Amount: __________________________
Loan sponsor #4: ______________________________ Amount: __________________________
Loan sponsor #5: ______________________________ Amount: __________________________

Total amount requested: __________________________

Conflict of Interest

A conflict of interest occurs when any of the following conditions is present:

(a) An applicant or potential loan awardee uses his/her status to obtain special advantage, benefit, or access to the MPCA’s time, services, facilities, equipment, supplies, prestige, or influence.
(b) An applicant receives or accepts money or anything else of value from another state loan awardee or loan applicant or has equity or a financial interest in or partial or whole ownership of a competing loan applicant organization.
(c) An applicant is an employee of the MPCA or is an immediate family member of an employee of the MPCA.
(d) An applicant or potential loan awardee is unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to the State due to competing duties or loyalties.
(e) A loan awardee’s objectivity in carrying out the loan project is or might be otherwise impaired due to competing duties or loyalties.
(f) A loan awardee has an unfair competitive advantage through being furnished unauthorized proprietary information or source selection information that is not available to all competitors/applicants.

I certify that I have read and understand the description of conflicts of interest above and (check one of the following two boxes):

☑ Based on the criteria and description above, I do not have any conflicts of interest.

☐ Based on the criteria and description above, I have an actual or potential conflict of interest, or the appearance of a conflict of interest, which I am listing immediately below.

Name/Relationship and/or Description of the Conflict of Interest (attach additional page if needed):
RESOLUTION 18-03-03
COMFORT LAKE-FOREST LAKE WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD of MANAGERS

RESOLUTION to SUBMIT FOR CLEAN WATER PARTNERSHIP LOAN PROGRAM

Manager _____________ offered the following resolution and moved its adoption, seconded by Manager _____________:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Managers, Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District (hereafter “District”) that the District shall submit a proposal to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (hereafter “MPCA”) to conduct the CLFLWD Adaptive Management Project Implementation Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the District Administrator, Mike Kinney, is authorized to submit the proposal for the above-mentioned Project and is authorized to represent this body in all matters that do not specifically require the action of this body.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that submittal of the proposal does not obligate the District to accept a grant and/or a loan if so offered.

WHEREUPON this resolution was adopted at a regular meeting of the District Board of Managers this 22nd day of March 2018.

The question was on the adoption of the above resolution and there were ____ ayes and _____ nays as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AYE</th>
<th>NAY</th>
<th>ABSENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jackie A. Anderson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon W. Spence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne S. Moe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Schmaltz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie M. McNamara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The President declared the resolution adopted.

Print name:       Jackie Anderson       Title:       President
Authorized signature: ____________________ Date: ____________________
State of Minnesota  
Chisago and Washington Counties

I, Wayne S. Moe, Secretary of the Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District Board of Managers, do hereby certify that I am the custodian of the minutes of all proceedings had and held by the Board of Managers of said Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District, that I have compared the above resolution with the original passed and adopted by the Board of Managers at a regular meeting thereof held on the 22nd day of March, 2018 at 6:30 pm. that the above constitutes a true and correct copy thereof, that the same has not been amended or rescinded and is in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto placed my hand and signature this 22nd day of March, 2018.

Print name: ___________________________ Title: Secretary

Authorized signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________